## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/008,254	AMERASINGHE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ait Oille	

	Susaillia IVI. Diaz	1 3092		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS .	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter				
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi  AMENDMENTS			з арреаі. Опісе а	
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**			
(c) ∐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. $oxedsymbol{\square}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	101 rejection of claims 45-47, 50,	<u>59-60, and 63-64</u> .		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,6,12-17,19-21,28-30,45-48 and 50</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>0-64</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>IDS date</u>	ed 1/13/09		
13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.				
	/Susanna M. Diaz/			
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3692		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant argues the Sultan-Official Notice combination; however, Applicant fails to address the Ouimet reference, which is part of the art rejection. Regarding claims 3 and 17, Applicant argues that Sultan fails to teach visibility rules including a maximum hierarchy depth search value n. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Claim 3, for example, specifies that the maximum hierarchy depth search value n is defined such that the forecast is generated from the manager's own forecast data and forecast data corresponding to members of the organization who are defined to be both subordinate to the manager and occupy a management level in the hierarchy that is <=n levels below a management level occupied by the manager. Columns 7-8 of Sultan explain that a forecast may be generated for the manager and all those in lower levels who report to that manager. The claim does not explain how n is set; therefore, the number of levels below the manager corresponds to n.

Continuation of 13. Other: "Comment Regarding Information Disclosure Statement dated January 13, 2009".